

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,896	09/28/2001	Travis J. Parry	10012806-1	4357
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400		EXAMINER HANG, VU B		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2625	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	THS 04/18/2007 PAPER		PER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/966,896	PARRY, TRAVIS J.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Vu B. Hang	2625			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 January 2007. This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims		·			
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers		•			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 28 September 2001 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/15/2005, 09/28/2001. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) , Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 09/966,896 Page 2

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

• This office action is responsive to the following communication filed on 01/29/2007.

• Claims 1-24 are pending in the application.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed on 01/29/2007, with respect to the rejections of Claims 1-24 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the previous rejections of Claims 1-24 have been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Van Der Linden et al. (US Patent 7,072,059 B2).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2, and 5-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jecha et al. (US Patent 7,120,634 B2) in view of Van Der Linden et al. (US Patent 7,072,059 B2).
- 4. Regarding **Claim 1**, Jecha discloses a method for sending a print job to a printer (see Fig.3 and Col.1, Line 58-61), comprising: attaching at least one document to an e-mail message (see Col.5, Line 59-64); sending the e-mail message over the network to an e-mail enabled printer (see Fig.2 (204,206,216) and Col.5, Line 59-64); and extracting the at least one document

Art Unit: 2625

from the e-mail message by the e-mail enabled printer (see Col.5, Line 1-8). Jecha fails to expressly disclose specifying instructions in a portion of the e-mail message for printing the at last one document with the user selected printing attributes; and where the e-mail enabled printer includes e-mail client software. Jecha, however, discloses creating documents to be sent to the e-mail enabled printer for printing with user-selected options such as fonts, color and commands (see Fig.3, Col.5, Line 35-40 and Col.5, Line 59 – Col.5, Line 2). Van Der Linden teaches sending a print job from a client workstation to a remote printer via e-mail with user-selected print options (see Col.1, Line 31-38 and Col.2, Line 26-30); and client software for communicating print jobs to a printer over the Internet (see Col.6, Line 18-22 and Col.6, Line 58 – Col.7, Line 3).

Jecha and Vander Linden are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely printing communication systems. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to include a means for specifying instructions in a portion of the e-mail message for printing the at last one document with the user selected printing attributes. The motivation would be to communicate the specified print options to a remote printer over the network. The user-selected printing attributes would allow for specifying exactly how the documents are to be printed based on the user's preferences and requirements. It is further the obvious to include an e-mail client software to the e-mail enabled printer. The motivation would be to establish communication between the client workstation and a remote printer over the Internet.

5. Regarding Claim 2, 17 and 22, Jecha and Van Der Linden teaches the method as described in Claim 1 but fail to disclose storing the at least one document in the job retention of

Application/Control Number: 09/966,896

Art Unit: 2625

Page 4

the e-mail enabled printer by the e-mail enabled printer. Van Der Linden, however, discloses storing the documents to be printed in the print job retention at a print server (see Col.9, Line 36-40), and teaches establishing a direct network connection with a specified address of a reproduction center (Col.6, Line 58 – Col.7, Line 3). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to include a means for storing the at least one document in the job retention of the e-mail enabled printer by the e-mail enabled printer. The motivation would be to send multiple print jobs directly to a particular e-mail enabled printer over the network, without the processing from a server. The print job retention placed at the specified e-mail enabled printer would allow for multiple print jobs to be stored at the printer.

6. Regarding Claim 5, Jecha and Van Der Linden teaches the method as described in Claim 1 but fail to disclose printing the at least one document in conjunction with storing the at least one document in the job retention of the e-mail enabled printer. Van Der Linden, however, discloses storing the documents to be printed in the print job retention at a print server (see Col.9, Line 36-40), and teaches establishing a direct network connection with a specified address of a reproduction center (Col.6, Line 58 – Col.7, Line 3). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to include a means for printing the at least one document in conjunction with storing the at least one document in the job retention of the e-mail enabled printer. The motivation would be to send multiple print jobs directly to a particular e-mail enabled printer over the network, without the processing from a server. The print job retention placed at the specified e-mail enabled printer would allow for multiple print jobs to be stored at the printer.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,896 Page 5

Art Unit: 2625

7. Regarding Claim 6, the rationale provided in the rejection of Claim 1 is incorporated herein.

- 8. Regarding Claim 7, the rationale provided in the rejection of Claim 1 is incorporated herein.
- 9. Regarding Claim 8, Van Der Linden further discloses specifying scheduling instructions for scheduling the printing of the at least one document (see Col.9, Line 38-40 and Col.10, Line 8-14).
- 10. Regarding Claim 9, Jecha further discloses providing printable readable language in the portion of the e-mail message (see Col.2, Line 1-6 and Col.5, Line 59-64).
- 11. Regarding Claim 10, Jecha further discloses encoding the printable readable language prior to sending the e-mail over the network (see Col.2, Line 1-6 and Col.4, Line 57-64).
- 12. Regarding Claims 11 and 23, Jecha further discloses encoding the printable readable language in MIME encoding fields (see Col.2, Line 1-6 and Col.5, Line 59 Col.6, Line 2).
- 13. Regarding **Claim 12**, Jecha further discloses at the at least one document is attached to the e-mail message in an application-specific format (see Col.5, Line 59-64).
- 14. Regarding Claims 13 and 16, Jecha further discloses converting the application specific format into a print ready file by an e-mail enabled printer (see Col.5, Line 4-8).
- 15. Regarding Claim 14, Jecha further discloses wherein at least one document is attached to the e-mail message in a bit-mapped image format (see Col.6, Line 31-40).
- 16. Regarding Claim 15, Jecha discloses a method distributing a document for printing (see Fig.3 and Col.1, Line 58-61), comprising: attaching at least one document to an e-mail message (see Col.5, Line 59-64); sending the e-mail message over the network to an e-mail enabled

Art Unit: 2625

printer (see Fig.2 (204,206,216) and Col.5, Line 59-64); and sending the at least one document to a network printer by the e-mail enabled print server (see Fig.2 and Col.4, Line 65 – Col.5, Line 8). Jecha fails to disclose attaching at a workstation the at least one document to an e-mail message; specifying instructions in a portion of the e-mail message for printing the at least one document with the user selected printing attributes; and extracting the at last one document at the e-mail enabled print server.

Jecha, however, discloses creating documents to be sent to the e-mail enabled printer for printing with user-selected options such as fonts, color and commands (see Fig.3, Col.5, Line 35-40 and Col.5, Line 59 – Col.5, Line 2). Van Der Linden teaches sending a print job from a client workstation to an e-mail enabled print server via e-mail with user-selected print options (see Fig.2 (42), Col.1, Line 31-38 and Col.2, Line 26-30); extracting the at last one document at the e-mail enabled print server (see Col.8, Line 38-55); and the scheduling and routing of the print jobs to a plurality of printers in the network (see Fig.4 (68,70) and Col.10, Line 8-22).

Jecha and Vander Linden are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor, namely printing communication systems. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to include a means for specifying instructions in a portion of the e-mail message for printing the at last one document with the user selected printing attributes. The motivation would be to communicate the specified print options to a remote printer over the network. The user-selected printing attributes would allow for specifying exactly how the documents are to be printed based on the user's preferences and requirements. It is further obvious to include a means for extracting the at least one document at the e-mail enabled

Application/Control Number: 09/966,896

Art Unit: 2625

print server. The motivation would be to provide scheduling and routing of the print jobs to the appropriate printers in the network.

Page 7

- 17. Regarding Claim 18, Jecha further discloses printing the at least one document (see Fig.2 (204)).
- 18. Regarding **Claim 19**, the rationale provided in the rejection of Claim 15 is incorporated herein.
- 19. Regarding Claim 20, Jecha further discloses the e-mail enabled print server converts the document sent as print job into s print ready file (see Fig.2 (204,220) and Col.4, Line 51-64).
- 20. Regarding Claim 21, the rationale provided in the rejection of Claim 1 is incorporated herein.
- 21. Regarding Claim 24, the rationale provided in the rejection of Claim 15 is incorporated herein.
- Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jecha et al (US Patent 7,120,634 B2) in view of Van Der Linden et al. (US Patent 7,072,059 B2), and in further view of Maruyama (US Patent 7,016,057 B1).
- Regarding Claim 3, Jecha further teaches reading the instructions by the e-mail enabled printer (see Col.4, Line 57- Col.5, Line 8), but Jecha and Van Der Linden fail to teach specifying instructions for storing the at least one document in the job retention in a portion of the e-mail message. Maruyama, however, discloses specifying instructions for storing the at least one document in the job retention in a portion of the e-mail message (see Fig.12, Col.5, Line 12-17 and Col.9, Line 12-17).

Application/Control Number: 09/966,896

Art Unit: 2625

Jecha, Van Der Linden and Maruyama are combinable because they are reform the same field of endeavor, namely printing communication systems. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for one skilled in the art to include specifying instructions for storing the at least one document in the job retention in a portion of the e-mail message. The motivation would be to instruct the printer to store a document in a particular format to be interpreted by the printer for printing.

Page 8

24. Regarding **Claim 4**, Maruyama further discloses reading the instructions for storing the at least one document by the e-mailed enabled printer (see Fig.2 (1e), Col.5, Line 12-17 and Col.9, Line 12-17).

Conclusion

25. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vu B. Hang whose telephone number is (571) 272-0582. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:00am - 6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Twyler M. Lamb can be reached on (571) 272-7406. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,896 Page 9

Art Unit: 2625

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Vu Hang

Assistant Examiner

TWYLER LAMB

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER